This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713455674

Metal Complexes Derived from Hydrazoneoxime Ligands: I. Synthesis, Characterization and Magnetochemical Studies of (Acylhydrazoneoxime) Copper(II) Complexes

Magdi F. Iskander^a; Laila El Sayed^a; Nahed M. H. Salem^a; Rudiger Werner^b; Wolfgang Haase^b ^a Chemistry Department Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt ^b Institut für physikalische Chemie, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany

Online publication date: 15 September 2010

To cite this Article Iskander, Magdi F., Sayed, Laila El, Salem, Nahed M. H., Werner, Rudiger and Haase, Wolfgang(2003) 'Metal Complexes Derived from Hydrazoneoxime Ligands: I. Synthesis, Characterization and Magnetochemical Studies of (Acylhydrazoneoxime) Copper(II) Complexes', Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 56: 12, 1075 – 1084 **To link to this Article: DOI:** 10.1080/00958970310001601802

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958970310001601802

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



METAL COMPLEXES DERIVED FROM HYDRAZONEOXIME LIGANDS: I. SYNTHESIS, CHARACTERIZATION AND MAGNETOCHEMICAL STUDIES OF (ACYLHYDRAZONEOXIME) COPPER(II) COMPLEXES

MAGDI F. ISKANDER^{a,*}, LAILA EL SAYED^a, NAHED M.H. SALEM^a, RUDIGER WERNER^b and WOLFGANG HAASE^b

^aChemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, P.O. Box 426, Ibrahimia, Alexandria 21321, Egypt; ^bInstitut für physikalische Chemie, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Petersenstrasse 20, D-64287 Darmstadt, Germany

(Received 09 April 2003; In final form 23 June 2003)

Reactions of 2-hydroxyimino-1-methylpropylidene (acetyl-) and (benzoylhydrazine) with copper(II) chloride, nitrate and acetate were studied. Three types of copper(II) complexes of general formula $[Cu(H_2L)Cl_2]$, $[{Cu(HL)}_2] \cdot 2NO_3 \cdot nH_2O$ and $[{Cu(L)}_2]$, where H_2L , HL, and L refer, respectively, to the neutral, monoanionic and dianionic ONN tridentate acylhydrazoneoxime ligands, were isolated and characterized. Variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility measurements for $[Cu(H_2L)Cl_2]$ suggest Curie–Weiss behavior. Both $[{Cu(HL)}_2] \cdot 2NO_3 \cdot nH_2O$ and $[{Cu(L)}_2]$ show strong antiferromagnetic exchange coupling with -2J values of 898–934 and 718–757 cm⁻¹, respectively, indication dimeric structures with oximate bridges.

Keywords: 2-Hydroxyimino-1-methylpropylidene(acetylhydrazine); 2-Hydroxyimino-1-methyl-propylidene (benzoylhydrazine); Copper(II) complexes; Magnetic studies; Oximate bridges

INTRODUCTION

Acylhydrazone-oximes, Fig. 1 (1), react with copper(II) salts giving either mono- or binuclear copper(II) complexes [1–4]. The acylhydrazone residue may react in the ketoamide or deprotonated enolimine form [5,6]. The oxime group, on the other hand, is a very weak acid and can only be deprotonated by strong bases [7]. N-Coordination of the oxime group to a metal ion leads to a dramatic increase in its acidic character and formation of an oximato ligand is favoured [7]. Similar to other tridentate Schiff-base monoxime dicopper(II) complexes [8–12], the deprotonated oximate group can function as a bridging group giving binuclear copper(II) complexes

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: m.iskander@link.net



FIGURE 1 Proposed structures of the acylhydrazoneoximes (1) and their corresponding monomeric dichloro- (2) and dimeric neutral (3) copper(II) complexes.

with a $Cu_2N_2O_2$ framework. Magnetic properties of dicopper(II) complexes with different types of NNO tridentate Schiff-base monoximes have been studied [8–12]. Antiferromagnetic interactions via N–O bridges was found to be directly related to the distortion from planarity of the $Cu_2N_2O_2$ ring [12]. To the best of our knowledge, no such attention has been paid to detailed magnetic studies on dicopper(II) complexes derived from acylhydrazoneoximes.

As part of a systematic study of the structural and magnetic properties of mono-, bi- and polynuclear metal(II) complexes with acylhydrazoneoximes, we describe the synthesis and characterization of some copper(II) complexes derived from 2-hydroxy-imino-1-methylpropylidene(acetylhydrazine) [H₂LMe], Fig. 1 (1, R = Me), and the corresponding benzoylhydrazine derivative [H₂LPh] (1, R = Ph).

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Acetyl- and benzoylhydrazines were prepared by the hydrazinolyses of the corresponding methyl esters as previously described [13]. Diacetylmonoxime was obtained from Sigma and used without further purification.

Preparation of Acylhydrazoneoximes, H₂LMe and H₂LPh

A hot solution of diacetylmonoxime (0.01 mol) in absolute ethanol (50 mL) was treated with a solution of acetylhydrazine of benzoylhydrazine (0.01 mol) in absolute ethanol

(50 mL). The reaction mixture was boiled under reflux for 2 h, then evaporated to half its volume. On cooling, the precipitate formed was filtered, then crystallized from ethanol and dried under vacuum.

H₂LMe (1, R = Me): yield: 72%; m.p. 241°C [4]; Anal. Calcd. for C₆H₁₁N₃O₂(%): C, 45.85; H, 7.05; N, 26.74. Found: C, 45.59; H, 7.01; N, 26.77. Electronic spectrum (EtOH, λ_{max} /nm (log ε); 250 (4.16), 267 (4.13); 0.1 M KOH (EtOH), 285 (4.37); ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, TMS, ppm): 11.51, 11.46 (1H, oxime OH), 10.46, 10.32 (1H, CONH), 2.17 (3H, s, CH₃C=NN), 2.02 (3H, s, CH₃C=NO), 1.97 (3H, s, CH₃CO). EI MS (*m*/*z* (RA%), 157 (31), 140 (7), 114 (83), 87 (7), 69 (41), 57 (80), 43 (100); FD MS (methanol), *m*/*z* = 156 (100%).

H₂LPh (1, R = Ph): yield: 80%; m.p. 190°C. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₁H₁₃N₃O₂(%): C, 60.26; H, 5.95; N, 19.2. Found: C, 59.97; H, 5.72; N, 19.17. Electronic spectrum (EtOH, λ_{max}/nm (log ε): 230 (4.19), 270 (4.25), 285 (4.22); 0.1 M KOH (EtOH), 290 (4.20); ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, TMS, ppm): 11.62, (1H, oxime OH), 10.75 (1H, CONH), 7.54 (3H, m, aromatic), 7.86 (2H, m, aromatic), 2.17 (3H, s, CH₃C=NN), 2.02 (3H, s, CH₃C=NO). EI MS (*m*/*z* (RA%), 219 (12.6), 202 (13.0), 161 (10.4), 114 (29.6), 105 (100), 77 (81.5), 68 (4.4), 51 (18.5); FD MS, *m*/*z* = 219 (100%).

Preparation of [Cu(H₂LMe)Cl₂] and [Cu(H₂LPh)Cl₂]

A solution of acylhydrazonemonoxime (1, $R = CH_3$ or C_6H_5) (1.0 mmol) in absolute ethanol (40 mL) was added to a solution of copper(II) chloride dihydrate (1.0 mmol) in absolute ethanol (30 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for about 2 h. The isolated dichloro complex was filtered off, washed with absolute ethanol then dried under vacuum. Cu(H₂LMe)Cl₂: Yield 65%. Anal. Calcd. for $C_6H_{11}N_3O_2CuCl_2\%$: C, 24.71; H, 3.80; N, 14.41; Cu, 21.79. Found: C, 24.47; H, 3.65; N, 14.15; Cu, 21.60. Cu(H₂LPh)Cl₂: Yield, 70%. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{11}H_{13}N_3O_2CuCl_2(\%)$: C, 37.35; H, 3.70; N, 11.88; Cu, 17.97. Found: C, 37.07; H, 3.52; N, 11.72; Cu, 17.85.

Preparation of $[{Cu(LMe)_2}] \cdot H_2O$ and $[{Cu(LPh)}_2] \cdot H_2O$

To a solution of acylhydrazoneoxime (1, R = Me or Ph) (1.0 mmol) in methanol (30 mL), a solution of copper(II) acetate monohydrate (1.0 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was treated with a solution of KOH (2.0 mmol) in methanol (20 mL). The reaction mixture was then boiled under reflux with constant stirring for 3 h. The isolated complex was filtered off while hot, washed with boiling methanol then dried under vacuum. [{Cu(LMe)₂}]·H₂O: Yield, 75%. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₂H₂₀N₆O₅Cu₂(%): C, 31.65; H, 4.43; N, 18.45; Cu, 27.91. Found: C, 31.71; H, 4.13; N, 18.49; Cu, 27.73. [{Cu(LPh)}₂]·H₂O: Yield 78%: Anal. Calcd. for C₂₂H₂₄N₆O₅Cu₂(%): C, 45.59; H, 4.17; N, 14.50; Cu, 21.93. Found: C, 45.44; H, 4.31; N, 14.53; Cu, 21.68.

Preparation of $[{Cu(HLMe)}_2] \cdot (NO_3)_2 \cdot H_2O$ and $[{Cu(HLPh)}_2](NO_3)_2$

A solution of copper(II) nitrate trihydrate (1.0 mmol) in methanol (20 mL) was added dropwise with constant stirring to a solution of acylhydrazoneoxime (1, R = Me or Ph)

(0.01 mol) in methanol (30 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and the complex formed was filtered, washed with methanol then dried under vacuum. [$\{Cu(HLMe)_2\}$]·2NO₃·H₂O: Yield, 55%. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₂H₂₂N₈O₁₁Cu₂(%): C, 24.79; H, 3.81; N, 19.27; Cu, 21.9. Found: C, 24.55; H, 3.7; N, 19.09; Cu, 21.65. [$\{Cu(HLPh)_2\}$]·2NO₃: Yield, 58%. Anal. Calcd. for C₂₂H₂₄N₈O₁₀Cu₂(%): C, 38.43; H, 3.52; N, 16.30; Cu, 18.48. Found: C, 38.28; H, 3.35; N, 16.22; Cu, 18.35.

Physical Measurements

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1430 data system or a Perkin-Elmer (FTIR) Paragon 1000 PC spectrophotometer. Calibrations of frequency were made with polystyrene film. Solid samples were examined as KBr discs. Ultraviolet and visible absorption spectra were recorded on double beam, ratio recording Perkin-Elmer Lambda 4B or Cary 17 spectrophotometers. Magnetic susceptibilities of dried powdered samples were recorded on a Faraday magnetometer using a sensitive computer-controlled D-200 Cahn RG microbalance in the temperature range 4.2-298 K. The magnetic field applied was ~ 1.5 T. Details concerning the apparatus have been described elsewhere [14,15]. Experimental susceptibility data were corrected for diamagnetism using Pascal's constants [16].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis

Condensation of diacetylmonoxime with acetyl- or benzoylhydrazine in ethanol afforded the corresponding acylhydrazoneoxime H₂LMe and H₂LPh. Reaction of H₂LMe and H₂LPh with CuCl₂ · 2H₂O in ethanol afforded the corresponding dichloro complexes [Cu(H₂L)Cl₂], Fig. 1, (**2**, R = Me, and Ph) where H₂L refers to the neutral acylhydrazoneoxime ligand. The reaction of copper(II) acetate, on the other hand, proceeded with the deprotonation of both the oxime (=NOH) and enolimine hydrazone (NN=C(OH)R) protons and eventually gave neutral dimeric complexes [{Cu(L)₂]. Figure 1 (**3**, R = Me, Ph). FD mass spectra of these neutral complexes show molecular ions at m/z = 436 and 560, corresponding, respectively, to the dimeric species [{Cu(LMe)₂]⁺ and [{Cu(LPh)₂]⁺.

Reaction of H₂LPh with Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O was previously reported by Ghosh and Bandyopadhyay [4] to give the monomeric nitrate complex [Cu(H₂LPh)](NO₃)₂·H₂O, where the benzoylhydrazoneoxime ligand acts as neutral ligand. However, attempts to prepare this type of monomeric nitrate complex by reaction of copper(II) nitrate with H₂LMe or H₂LPh using a 1:1 mole ratio were unsuccessful and complexes of composition Cu(HL)(NO₃)·xH₂O were isolated. FD mass spectra of the isolated nitrate complexes show molecular ion peaks at m/z = 436 and 560, corresponding to the dimeric species [{Cu(HLMe)}₂]⁺ and [{Cu(HLPh)}₂]⁺, respectively.

Infrared Spectra

Relevant IR bands of H_2LMe and H_2LPh , and the copper(II) complexes are listed in Table I. Spectra of the dichloro complexes [Cu(H_2L)Cl₂] (**2**, R = Me, Ph), display

Compound	v(OH)	$\nu(N-H)$	Amide I	$\nu(C=N)_h$	Amide II	$v(C=N)_{ox}$	Amide III	$\nu(N-O)$
*			$\nu(C=O)$					
H ₂ LMe	3300 sh	3241 s	1655 s	1600 sh	1525 w	1553 m	1320 m	1120 m
$[Cu(H_2LMe)Cl_2]$	3285 m	3195 m	1615 s	1590 s	1530 m	1532 m	1345 m	1080 s
$[{Cu(HLMe)}_2] \cdot 2NO_3 \cdot H_2O$	3380 b	_	-	1590 s	_	1525 w	1340 m	1087 sh
$[{Cu(LMe)}_2] \cdot H_2O$	-	_	-	1620 m	_	1530 sh	1330 m	1080 m
				1595 s				
H ₂ LPh	3328 m	3270 m	1640 s	1615 m	1530	1580 m	1290 s	1140 m
				1575 m				
$[Cu(H_2LPh)Cl_2]$	3285 m	3195 m	1610 sh	1595 s	1520s	1560 m	1300 s	1105 m
$[{Cu(HLPh)}_2] \cdot 2NO_3$	3370 b	_	-	1615 s	_	1495 m	1305 s	1130 m
				1560 s				
$[\{Cu(LPh)\}_2] \cdot H_2O$	-	_	-	1618 m	-	1550 w	1300 s	1130 m

TABLE I Significant bands in the IR spectra of the ligands and their copper(II) complexes

 $(C=N)_{h} = acylhydrazone C=N, (C=N)_{ox} = oxime C=N; s = strong, m = medium, w = weak, b = broad and sh = shoulder.$

a series of bands due to $\nu(N-H)$ amide I [$\nu(C=O)$], $\nu(C=N)_h$, amide II [$\delta(N-H)$] and amide III [$\nu(C-N)$] of the acylhydrazone residue besides $\nu(O-H)$, $\nu(C=N)_{ox}$ and $\nu(N-O)$ of the hydroxyimino group. The amide I [$\nu(C=O)$], $\nu(C=N)_h$, $\nu(C=N)_{ox}$ and $\nu(N-O)$ bonds are shifted to lower frequencies while $\nu(C-N)$ absorptions are shifted to higher frequencies, relative to those of the free ligands. Based on both IR data and the stoichiometry of the dichloro complexes, the acylhydrazoneoxime in these complexes acts as a neutral NNO tridentate ligand as shown in Fig. 1 (**2**, R = Me, Ph). Spectra of the neutral complexes [{Cu(L)}₂], Fig. 1 (**3**, R = Me, Ph), on the other hand, suggest the deprotonation of both acylhydrazone and oxime residues. The spectra lack absorption due to $\nu(O-H)$, $\nu(N-H)$, amide I [$\nu(C=O)$] and amide II [$\delta(N-H)$], but show absorptions due to $\nu(C=N-N=C)$ and $\nu(C=N)_{ox}$, respectively, at *ca*. 1620 ± 10 and 1570 ± 20 cm⁻¹.

Spectra of the nitrate complexes lack absorptions due to ν (N–H), amide I [ν (C=O)] and amide II [δ (N–H)], but show an intense absorption at *ca*. 1610 ± 10 cm⁻¹ due to ν (C=N-N=C), indicating the deprotonation of the enolimine form of the acylhydrazone residue. According to these results the oxime group remains protonated, but this cannot account for the dimeric nature of this type of complex, as dimerization usually occurs via the deprotonated oximate group. Dimerization, however, may occur via deprotonated enolimine oxygen, while the oxime group remains protonated as shown in Fig. 2 (4). In this case the bands at ca. 3385 cm^{-1} in the IR spectra of $[{Cu(HL)}_2](NO_3)_2$ complexes can be attributed to hydrogen-bonded $\nu(OH)$ of the oxime group. Dimerization of copper(II) complexes with deprotonated acylhydrazone copper via enolimine oxygen, although not common, was reported by Lukov et al. [17]. Alternatively, it can be assumed that reaction of acylhydrazoneoximes with copper(II) nitrate may proceed with the initial formation of an intermediate, Fig. 2 (5), in which the acylhydrazone residue is deprotonated while the bridging oxime group remains protonated. Coordination of copper(II) to the oxime nitrogen in this intermediate will increase the oxime proton acidity and enhance proton transfer to the acylhydrazone enolimine oxygen, thus giving the dinuclear copper(II) Complex 6 (Fig. 2). The two copper(II) atoms in $\mathbf{6}$ are bridged by the deprotonated oximate group. IR data, however, cannot provide concrete evidence concerning the structure of these dimeric nitrate complexes. The actual structure must remain in doubt until a complete X-ray molecular structure is available.





FIGURE 2 Possible structures for the dimeric $[{Cu(HL)}_2]$ cation.

Complex		Solvent	L–L	LMCT	d–d	
R	n					
$[Cu(H_2L)Cl_2] \cdot nH_2O$						
Me	0	THF	285	365	750	
Ph	0	THF	285	380 sh, 390	750	
$[{Cu(HL)}_2] \cdot 2NO_3 \cdot nH_2O$						
Me	1	EtOH	260 (4.6), 280 sh	370 (4.3)	650 (2.65)	
Ph	0	EtOH	255 sh	340 (4.3), 420 sh	650 (2.65)	
$[{Cu(L)}_2] \cdot nH_2O$						
Me	1	DMSO	280 sh	370 (4.6), 410 sh	600 (2.9)	
Ph	1	DMSO	260 sh, 315 sh	385 (4.6)	600 (2.9)	

TABLE II Electronic absorption data for the Cu(II) complexes (nm)

Electronic Spectra

The spectrum of H₂LMe in methanol shows two absorptions at 250 and 265 nm due to $\pi-\pi^*$ transitions of the neutral ligand. On replacing the acylhydrazone methyl group of H₂LMe by a phenyl group in H₂LPh these two bands show an appreciable red shift and appear at 270 and 285 nm, implying extended conjugation. In 0.1 M KOH the absorption bands are shifted to lower energy owing to the deprotonation of the acylhydrazone residue, and the acylhydrazoneoxime exists as a mononegative anion.

Spectra of copper(II) complexes, Table II, show absorption bands due to L–L*, LMCT and d–d transitions [18]. The d–d bands recorded for $[{Cu(L)}_2] \cdot nH_2O$ are within the range reported for square planar complexes [18]; the d–d spectra of $[{Cu(HL)}_2] \cdot 2NO_3 \cdot nH_2O$ complexes suggest the formation of a solvated species $[{Cu(HL)}_2 \cdot (MeOH)_2]^{2+}$ in which the copper(II) ion lies in a distorted trigonal

bipyramidal environment [18]. The d-d transitions of $[Cu(H_2L)Cl_2]$ complexes in DMSO appear at lower energies, implying the formation of distorted octahedral species $[Cu(H_2L)(DMSO)_3]^{2+}$ in equilibrium with $[Cu(H_2L)Cl_n(DMSO)_{(3-n)}]^{(2-n)^+}$ [18].

Magnetochemical Studies

Room temperature magnetic moments of the dichloro complexes are within the range reported for magnetically dilute systems [18]. Plots of $1/\chi_M vs. T$ for both [Cu(H₂LMe)Cl₂] and [Cu(H₂LPh)Cl₂] suggest Curie–Weiss behaviour. Recorded θ values, Table III, imply weak antiferromagnetic interactions, which most probably arise from intramolecular interactions in the crystal lattice.

The neutral dicopper(II) complexes show low-lying room temperature magnetic moments of 0.74 and 0.76 μ_{β} , indicating strong antiferromagnetic exchange interactions. The variation of $\chi_{\rm M}$ and $\mu_{\rm eff}$ as a function of temperature (4.5–300 K) recorded for [{Cu(LPh)}₂] · H₂O is shown in Fig. 3. Similar behaviour was observed for [{Cu(LPh)}₂] · H₂O. Experimental data for both [{Cu(LPh)}₂] · H₂O and

TABLE III The best-fit magnetic parameters for the Cu(II) complexes

$\mu_{\rm eff}$	-2J	g	θ	ρ
(298 K)	(cm ⁻)			
1.88		2.21	-1.4	
1.90		2.18	-1.2	
0.74	718	2.08	-3.2	0.70
0.76	757	2.08	-4.0	3.22
0.59	898	2.20	-3.2	0.76
0.61	934	2.05	-4.0	2.04
	$\begin{array}{c} \mu_{\rm eff} \\ (298 {\rm K}) \\ \hline 1.88 \\ 1.90 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.76 \\ 0.59 \\ 0.61 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_{\rm eff} & -2 J \\ (298 {\rm K}) & ({\rm cm}^{-1}) \\ \hline 1.88 \\ 1.90 \\ 0.74 & 718 \\ 0.76 & 757 \\ 0.59 & 898 \\ 0.61 & 934 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} \mu_{\rm eff} & -2J & g \\ \hline (298 {\rm K}) & ({\rm cm}^{-1}) \end{array} \\ \hline 1.88 & 2.21 \\ 1.90 & 2.18 \\ 0.74 & 718 & 2.08 \\ 0.76 & 757 & 2.08 \\ 0.59 & 898 & 2.20 \\ 0.61 & 934 & 2.05 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} \mu_{\rm eff} & -2J & g & \theta \\ \hline (298 {\rm K}) & ({\rm cm}^{-1}) & & & \\ \hline 1.88 & 2.21 & -1.4 \\ 1.90 & 2.18 & -1.2 \\ 0.74 & 718 & 2.08 & -3.2 \\ 0.76 & 757 & 2.08 & -4.0 \\ 0.59 & 898 & 2.20 & -3.2 \\ 0.61 & 934 & 2.05 & -4.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$



FIGURE 3 Magnetic susceptibilities $\chi_{\rm M}$ (•) and magnetic moments $\mu_{\rm eff}$ (o) vs. temperature for [{Cu(LPh)}₂]·H₂O. Solid lines represent the best least-squares fit to the Bleaney–Bowers equation with $J = -378 \, {\rm cm}^{-1}$, g = 2.08 and $\rho = 3.22$.

 $[{Cu(LMe)}_2] \cdot H_2O$ were fitted to a revised Bleaney-Bowers equation [16], using the isotropic exchange Hamiltonian $(H = -2JS_1S_2)$ for two interacting $S = \frac{1}{2}$ centres,

$$\chi_{\rm M} = (1-\rho) \frac{2N_{\rm L}\mu_{\beta}^2 g^2 \exp(2J/kT)}{k(T-\theta)(1+3\exp(2J/kT))} + \rho \frac{2N_{\rm L}\mu_{\beta}^2 g}{4kT} + 2N_{\alpha},$$

where $\chi_{\rm M}$ is the magnetic susceptibility per two copper atoms, N_{α} is the temperatureindependent paramagnetism [60 × 10⁻⁶ cm³ mol⁻¹ per copper(II)], ρ is the mole fraction of uncoupled copper(II) ions and θ is the corrective term to account for interdimer interactions [19,20]. A non-linear regression analysis was carried out with J, ρ and θ as floating parameters. The obtained best-fit magnetic parameters and listed in Table III. The -2J values recorded for (**3**, **R** = Me, Ph) are within the range reported for other oximate-bridged dicopper(II) complexes [8–12].

Room temperature magnetic moments of the nitrate complexes (6) were much lower than expected for spin-only values. The variation of the experimental χ_M values as a function of temperature, Figs. 4 and 5, indicates strong antiferromagnetic interaction between the two copper(II) centres in the dimers. The best-fit magnetic parameters (2*J*, *g* and ρ) derived from the Bleaney–Bowers equation are also included in Table III. The The -2J values of the dimeric nitrate complexes are much higher than to account for dimerization via enolimine oxygen, (4) [13], but are comparable to those reported for dimeric Schiff-base oximate copper(II) complexes [12], suggesting dimerization via oximate bridges and in favour of structure **6**.

Magnetic interactions mediated by two N–O bridges in dicopper(II) oximato complexes have been extensively studied [8–12, 21, 22]. The extent of spin–spin exchange coupling between the two copper(II) centres depends on the configuration of the $Cu_2N_2O_2$ core. Planar configurations show a very strong antiferromagnetic



FIGURE 4 Magnetic susceptibilities $\chi_{\rm M}$ (•) and magnetic moments $\mu_{\rm eff}$ (o) vs. temperature for [{Cu(HLMe)}_2](NO_3)_2 \cdot H_2O. Solid lines represent the best least-squares fit to the Bleaney–Bowers equation with $J = -449 \,{\rm cm}^{-1}$, g = 2.20 and $\rho = 0.76$.



FIGURE 5 Magnetic susceptibilities $\chi_{\rm M}$ (•) and magnetic moments $\mu_{\rm eff}$ (o) vs. temperature for [{Cu(HLPh)}₂](NO₃)₂. Solid lines represent the best least-squares fit to the Bleaney–Bowers equation with $J = -467 \,{\rm cm}^{-1}$, g = 2.05 and $\rho = 2.04$.

interaction $(-2J \sim 1000 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$ or are almost diamagnetic, even at room temperature [8, 21, 22]. The large overlap between the $d_{x^2-v^2}$ copper(II) orbitals through the diatomic oximato bridge accounts for such strong magnetic coupling. Deviation from planarity due to the coordination of solvent or counter ion as well as steric requirements of the ligand eventually gives rise to either twisted boat or twisted chair configurations [12]. The magnetic coupling is antiferromagnetic $(-2J \sim 600-800 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ in the former, but relatively reduced antiferro- $(-2J \sim 500-100 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ or ferromagnetic (2J = $1-10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) in the latter [12, 21, 22]. The nitrate complexes, although containing nitrate counter ions, show stronger antiferromagnetic interactions $(-2J = 898 - 934 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ as compared to the corresponding neutral complexes, $(-2J = 718 - 756 \text{ cm}^{-1})$. This suggests a more or less planar $Cu_2N_2O_2$ ring in the nitrate complexes, most probably due to intramolecular hydrogen bonds between the oximate oxygens and the protonated enolimine oxygens as shown in Fig. 2 (6). Such a planar configuration allows effective overlap between the oximate N–O orbitals and the copper(II) $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital. Neutral complexes which lack such hydrogen bonds may adopt a twisted boat configuration, as evident from the lower -2J values.

Acknowledgments

Thanks are due to BMBF/Forschungszentrm, Jüelich, ÖA-IB/Wo WTZ for supporting this bilateral project.

References

- [1] M.M. Mostafa, M.A. Khattab and K.M. Ibrahim, Polyhderon 2, 583 (1983).
- [2] M.M. Mostafa, M.A. Khattab and K.M. Ibrahim, Trans. Met. Chem. 8, 282 (1983).
- [3] M.M. Mostafa, K.M. Ibrahim and M.N.H. Moussa, Trans. Met. Chem. 9, 243 (1984).
- [4] S. Ghosh and T.K. Bandyopadhyay, Trans. Met. Chem. 10, 57 (1985).

- [5] L. El Sayed and M.F. Iskander, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 33, 435 (1971).
- [6] M.F. Iskander and S. Saddeck, Inorg. Chim. Acta 22, 141 (1979).
- [7] V. Yu. Kukushkin, D. Tudela, A.J.L. Pombeiro, Coord. Chem. Rev. 156, 333 (1996).
- [8] J.A. Bertrand, J.N. Smith and P. Gray Eller, Inorg. Chem. 13, 1649 (1974).
- [9] J.A. Bertrand, J.N. Smith and D.G. Van Derveer, Inorg. Chem. 16, 1477 (1977).
- [10] R.J. Butcher, C.J. O'Connor and E. Sinn, Inorg. Chem. 18, 1913 (1979).
- [11] K. Matsumoto, S. Ooi, W. Mori and Y. Nakao, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 3117 (1990).
- [12] M. Maekawa, S. Kitagawa, Y. Nakao, S. Sakamoto, A. Yatani, W. Mori, S. Kashino and M. Munakata, Inorg. Chim. Acta 293, 20 (1999).
- [13] M.F. Iskander, S.E. Zayan, M.A. Khalifa and L. El-Sayed, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 36, 551 (1974).
- [14] S. Gerhring, P. Fleichhauer, H. Paulus and W. Haase, Inorg. Chem. 32, 54 (1993).
- [15] L. Walz, H. Paulus and W. Haase, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 913 (1985).
- [16] O. Kahn, Molecular Magnetism. (VCH, New York, 1993).
- [17] V.V. Lukov, S.I. Levchenkov and V.A. Kogan, Russ. J. Coord. Chem. 24, 887 (1998).
- [18] B.J. Hathaway, In: G. Wilkinson, R.D. Gillard and J.A. Mcleverty (Eds.), Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry (Pergamon Press, New York, 1987), Vol. 5, pp. 594–884.
- [19] K.T. Mcgregor, J.A. Barnes and W.E. Hatfield, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 7993 (1973).
- [20] S. Sikraw, I. Brouche-Waksman and O. Kahn, Inorg. Chem. 23, 490 (1984).
- [21] D. Luneau, H. Oshio, H. Okawa, M. Kiokawa and S. Kida, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 63, 2212 (1990).
- [22] B. Cervera, R. Ruiz, F. Lloret, M. Julve, J. Cano, J. Faus, C. Bois and J. Mrozinski, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton. Trans. 395 (1997).